

Contents

<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xiv</i>
1 Citizenship and the Spirit of the Institution	1
Objectives of the Study	1
Five Examples of an Institutionalized Problem	3
The Mariposa County Grand Jury of 1994–1995	4
The Orange County Grand Jury of 1984–1985	5
The San Joaquin and Tehama County Grand Juries of 1992–1993	6
Dissolution of the Santa Clara County Grand Jury of 1998–1999	8
The Yuba County Defamation Lawsuit of 1993–1994	9
Grand Jury Blunders: Few or Many?	11
Citizenship: Selected Definitions and Literature	12
Renewed Interest in Citizenship	12
Concerns About Citizen Discontent	14
Perspectives on Citizenship and Citizen Competence	14
Significance of Citizenship for the Grand Jury	19
Method	21
Number of Final Reports	21
Organizing Project Data	22
Evaluative Criteria	22
Use of Final Reports	26
Scope, Limitations, and Validity	26
Scope and Limitations	27

1	Citizenship and the Spirit of the Institution, <i>continued</i>	
	Validity	31
	Other Research Strategies	32
	Definitions and Organization	33
	Definitions	33
	Organization	37
	Summary and Conclusion	37
2	Legal Aspects of the Grand Jury’s Investigating and Reporting Powers	39
	Legal Sources of Grand Jury Authority to Investigate and Report	40
	Common-Law Origins	41
	The California Constitution	42
	Statutes in the Penal Code	42
	Case Law	53
	Opinions	57
	Charges to Grand Juries	59
	Handbooks, Guides, and Procedures Manuals	61
	Criteria for Evaluating Investigations and Reports	61
	Law-Related Criteria	63
	Community Criteria	67
	Technical Criteria	68
	Summary and Conclusion	68
3	Grand Jury Investigations and Reports About Local Governments and Public Officials	71
	Producing Reports	72
	Getting Started	73
	Organizing for Investigations	74
	Managing Complaints and Workload	78
	Effects of the Indictment Function on the Grand Jury’s Civil Workload	82
	Grand Jury Expenditures and Other Resources	85
	Reports About Local Government	94
	Overview of the Database	94
	A Closer Look at the Database	97
	Examples of Statutorily Justified Investigations	110

3	Grand Jury Investigations and Reports About Local Governments and Public Officials, <i>continued</i>	
	Grand Jury Achievements	111
	Bureaucratic Encounters and Conflicts	114
	Summary and Conclusion	117
4	Evaluating Investigations and Reports	
	Using Law-Related Criteria	119
	Law-Related Criteria	119
	Jurisdiction and Independence	120
	Fair Comment	128
	Conducting Justified Investigations	132
	Emphasizing Systems, Procedures, Methods, and Equipment	134
	Validity	136
	Legality and Effectiveness of Findings and Recommendations	141
	Confidentiality and Secrecy	148
	Collegial and Corporate Nature of the Grand Jury	150
	Implications	155
	Wasting Grand Jury Energy and Resources	157
	Contributing to Confusion About the Institution	157
	Raising Doubts About the Institution's Objectivity and Integrity	158
	Creating Bad Law by Bad Practice	158
	Diminishing Institutional Prestige and Dignity	159
	Embroidering the Institution in Unnecessary Controversy	159
	Summary and Conclusion	160
5	Evaluating Investigations and Reports Using Other Criteria	163
	Community Criteria	163
	Significance	164
	Accountability	168
	Continuity	170
	Technical Criteria	181
	External Features	182
	Interim Reports	184
	Internal Features	185
	Distributing Final Reports	197
	Summary and Conclusion	199

6	Improving Grand Jury Investigations and Reports	201
	Grand Jury Controversies and Their Legislative Consequences	201
	Three Legislative Proposals	202
	Controversies Between Grand Juries and Public Officials ...	203
	Organizational Issues to Be Resolved Early in the Grand Jury Year	206
	Creating and Maintaining Collegiality	206
	Defining the Foreman's Role	209
	Reviewing the Procedures Manual	209
	Creating Organization and Management Practices That Foster Deliberation	210
	Developing a General Work Schedule	210
	Deciding Committee Organization and Procedures	211
	Understanding Grand Jury Resources	214
	Suggestions Based on Law-Related Criteria for Improving Investigations and Reports	214
	Independence and Jurisdiction	214
	Fair Comment	217
	Conducting Justified Investigations	218
	Emphasizing Systems, Methods, and Procedures	220
	Validity of Investigations and Reports	222
	Legality and Effectiveness of Findings and Recommendations	226
	Confidentiality and Secrecy	230
	Practices Supporting or Jeopardizing the Collegial Nature of the Grand Jury	231
	Community-Criteria-Related Suggestions for Improving Investigations and Reports	232
	Significance	232
	Accountability	233
	Continuity	235
	Technical Criteria	236
	A Suggested 10-Section Format for Final Reports	236
	A Suggested Alternative to Tabloid Formats	245
	Editorial Organization and Process	246
	Summary and Conclusion	249

7	Legislative Suggestions for Improving the Civil Grand Jury	251
	Institutional Origins and Characteristics	252
	The Spirit of the Institution	252
	The Grand Jury in History	253
	Grand Jury Culture	255
	Selected Institutionalized Problems and Their Solutions	259
	The Problem of Subjectivity	259
	The Experience-and-Resource Problem	264
	Selecting Grand Jurors: Some Problems and Solutions	269
	Suggested Grand Jury Legislation	278
	Legislative Intent and Statutory Reorganization	280
	Selection, Impanelment, and Discharge of Grand Jurors	282
	Legislative Suggestions Based on Law-Related Criteria	284
	Other Legislative Possibilities	294
	Restoration of the Presentment	297
	The Presentment of Findings and Recommendations	
	Concerning Local Government	297
	The Presentment of Indictment	298
	The Presentment of Malfeasance, Misfeasance,	
	or Nonfeasance	299
	The Presentment to Recover Public Monies or Property	300
	Summary and Conclusion	300
8	Citizenship and the Future of the Civil Grand Jury	
	in California	303
	The Importance of Citizenship for the Spirit	
	of the Institution	303
	Citizenship, the Spirit of the Institution,	
	and Its Persistent Problems	304
	Resources and Budgets	305
	Ambiguous and Inadequate Laws	305
	Ax-Grinding, Policy Poaching, and Civility	306
	Conflict of Interest	307
	Subjectivity and Triviality	308
	Grand Jury Service and Social Status	310
	What Kind of Citizenship Does the Institution Require?	312
	Aristotle’s “Serious Citizen”	314
	The “Serious” Grand Juror	314

8	Citizenship and the Future of the Civil Grand Jury in California, <i>continued</i>	
	Grand Jury Investigations and Active Citizenship	317
	Examples of Civic Values	318
	Civic Values and the Reputation of the Civil Grand Jury ...	320
	Civic Values in Grand Jury Investigations	320
	Citizenship and the Five Examples	326
	What Is to Be Done?	327
	A Proposal for “Civic Revitalization”	329
	Four Interdisciplinary Examples	330
	Conclusion	347
	Grand Jury Service: Should It Be a School for Public Affairs?	348
	“A Political Culture of Deep Dissatisfaction ...”	349
	Notes for a New Understanding of “Citizenship”	352
	“They Will Call Us Citizens, but We Will Be Something Less”	355
	<i>Appendices</i>	357
	A. <i>Some Notes About the Study and Its Method</i>	359
	B. <i>Twenty Suggestions for Avoiding Libel Suits</i>	369
	C. <i>One Hundred Suggestions for Improving Civil Grand Jury Investigations and Reports</i>	373
	D. <i>Examples of Subjectivity in a Civil Grand Jury Investigative Report</i>	381
	<i>Endnotes</i>	383
	<i>Glossary</i>	443
	<i>Additional References</i>	451
	<i>Index</i>	455