

Index

Bold print indicates words in the Glossary.

A

- Abolition or creation of agencies or offices
ambiguity of term, 46
statutory authority for findings and recommendations concerning: Table 3, Chapter 2: abolition or creation of agencies or offices
See also Legislation, suggestions for: abolition or creation of offices, eliminating authority to recommend
- Absenteeism. *See* Grand juror(s): absenteeism, effects on investigations
- Accountability
criterion of, 67, 168–170
of local government, as a type of investigation, 103
minor reports also important, 233–235
reporting grand jury activities and workload, 168
See also Workload
- Accounts, books, records, etc. of county officers. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Accusation** for malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance, 27, 261
See also Presentment: of malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
See also Table 3, Chapter 2: public officers
- Achievements of grand juries
examples of, 111–114
scarcity of data concerning, 30
See also Amador County Grand Jury
See also Grand Jury Exchange
Seminars: accomplishments of grand juries
See also Newspapers, news media: achievements of grand juries, reports of
See also Placer County Grand Jury
- Activity report (in 10-section format), 244
- Additional grand jury in each county, opinion concerning. *See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: additional grand jury in each county
- Ad hoc** committees of grand juries, 126, 130, 412n.54
- Administrative grand juries, 19
- Advisor judge**
- Advisors, legal
authorized for grand jurors, 347
unavailability of, 261
- Allegation**
“Allness,” problem of, 34
- Alternative schools. *See* Education: alternative schools
- Amador County Grand Jury computerized tracking study, 175
- Ambiguity in statutes. *See generally* Statutory vagueness and ambiguity

- American Revolution
and origins of citizenship, 349
citizens involved in, 329
See also Grand jury: as afterglow of the “Big Bang” of the American Revolution
- Apathetic citizens. *See* Citizenship: apathetic, conditioning for
- Appeal**
- Appellate court**
- Argument culture, 337
- Aristotle. *See generally* “Serious citizens”
- Artwork and binding. *See* Final reports: artwork and binding
- Ascending government and the Constitution, 350, 355
- Assembly Bill 1814, 415n.6
- Assembly Bill 829, 10, 204
- Assessing or taxing districts, special purpose. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2: special-purpose assessing or taxing district
- Assessor, county. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2: county assessor
- Assize of Clarendon. *See* History of the grand jury: Assize of Clarendon
- Assistants, hiring of. *See* Consultants for grand juries: availability of
- Associations in community life. *See* Citizens’ associations and organizations
- Associations of former grand jurors
alliance with current grand juries, 423n.22
See also Independence: associations of former grand jurors
- Attitudes toward government. *See* Grand jurors: attitudes toward government
- Attorney General of the State of California, examples of opinions issued by. *See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury
- Audit(s)**
audit function of grand juries, 108–110
financial, examples of, 108–109
performance, examples of, 109–110
statutes authorizing grand juries to contract for, 401n.28
- Authority for an investigation. *See* Investigative reports, individual: authority for investigation
- Authority for civil function of grand jury
See Grand jury: authority, three types of
See generally Statute(s) pertaining to grand jury powers
- Ax grinding
and civility, 307
and follow-up investigations, 164
example of possible, 133
See also Language, signs, symbols, and the spirit of the institution: ax grinding, language use as an indication of
- B**
- Backgrounding. *See* Investigations: backgrounding
- Bad practice creates bad law. *See* Grand jury: bad practice creates bad law
- “Benevolent paternalism” of grand juries in seventeenth century. *See* Grand jury: benevolent paternalism of in seventeenth century
- “Better Business Bureau” concerning government services, 342
- “Big Bang” of American Revolution. *See* Grand jury: as afterglow of the “Big Bang” of the American Revolution
- Bill of Rights and grand jury. *See* Grand jury: and Bill of Rights
-

- “Blind eye” of social science, grand jury as. *See* Grand jury: as “blind eye” of social science
- Blue-ribbon grand jury. *See under* Selection
- Blunders by grand jurors
and drastic legislation, 2
few or many?, 11–12
- Brochures of grand juries, 127
- Brown Act**, 129
- Budgets of grand juries. *See generally* Expenditures of grand juries
- Bugging grand juries. *See* Recording, listening to, observing grand jury proceedings
- Bureaucracy, trappings of and grand juror social status, 310
- Bureaucratic encounters and conflicts, 114–117
- C**
- Calendar-year** grand juries. *See* Term of service for civil grand jurors: calendar-year grand juries
- Carry-over grand jurors
and plagiarism. *See* Validity: Merced County Grand Jury plagiarism problem
presence on a panel a “red flag,” 275
reasons for eliminating the statute authorizing, *See* Selection: carry-over grand jurors, reasons for eliminating statute authorizing
social status and, 311
statute fosters mediocre investigations and report, 311
See also Contra Costa County Grand Jury discontinuance of appointing carry-over grand jurors
See also Legislation, suggestions for: carry-over grand jurors, eliminate statute
- See also* San Joaquin County Grand Jury: carry-over study
See also Tehama County Grand Jury carry-over study
- Case law**
Clinton v. Superior Court, *See* *Clinton* case: ruling and implications for grand jurors
examples of that affect the civil function, 53–57
Gillett-Harris-Duranceau & Associates v. Kemple, 54
importance of for understanding the civil grand jury, 62
McClatchy Newspapers v. Superior Court, 54
as part of patchwork body of grand jury law, 279
Unnamed Minority members, etc. v. Superior Court, 53
- Charge**, judicial
authority for, 60
definition of, 59
examples of topics, 60
and grand jury culture, 256
- Charter schools. *See* Education: alternative schools
- Checklist for improving reports and investigations
use of, 248–249
See also Appendix C
- Chronology of main issues. *See* Investigative reports, individual: chronology of main issues
- “Citizen Review Committee,” proposal to change “grand jury” to, 311
- Citizen(s)
activism and the “good citizen,” 352
apathy, discontent, etc. concerning government, 349
“cocoon” citizens, 315
competence of, 14–19
critical thinking, decline in skills of, 266, 309, 336

- discontent and distrust of government, study by National Civic League, 14
- experience of as activists, case studies of (*see* Social science research project concerning citizenship, citizens' experiences as activists)
- failure to inquire into governmental affairs, 348
- "good enough," 17, 348
- group-think theory, 309
- harassment of (*see* Intimidation, of citizens by public officials)
- Internet and citizen activism, 341
- lack of knowledge about government, 19
- monitorial, 353
- See also* Citizenship
- Citizens' associations and organizations
- Alcoholics Anonymous, 330
- citizens' public-expenditure councils, 329
- crime commissions, 329
- decline in, 329
- Granger movement, 329
- Know Nothing party, 329
- as "schools for citizenship," 329
- taxpayers' associations, 329
- Women's Christian Temperance movement, 329
- Citizens' Review Committee
- proposal to change "grand jury" to, 351
- Citizenship
- accountability and vigilance, 20
- active citizenship, encouraging, 330
- apathetic, conditioning for, 342
- confusion of term with "immigration," 355
- consequences of decline in, 13
- cross-cultural study, 15
- "deep citizenship," 315
- definitions and literature, 12–19
- five examples of grand jury investigations that demonstrate a sense of active (*see* Investigations and civic values)
- Good Citizen, The*, 352
- as identity, 343
- as legal profession uses term, 345
- marketplace conception of, 342
- mature sense of, 316, 334
- need for new understanding of, 352–354
- "news blackout" of local government as barrier to development of, 313
- news media and, 334
- as passive consumerism, 342
- "paying attention," 315
- and persistent problems of grand juries, 304–312
- as pragmatism, 307
- renewed interest in, 12–13
- research project concerning (*see* Social science research project concerning citizenship)
- scrutiny, public, required for honest, effective government, 313
- the Self and, 356
- semantics of the word (*see* Language, signs, symbols, and the spirit of the institution: citizenship)
- significance of for the grand jury, 19–21
- skills needed, 18
- societal trends affecting, 13
- somnambulant form of, 351
- as somnolent subjecthood, 329
- and spirit of the institution, 312–317
- stages of, 14
- "Stopover in a Quiet Town" as metaphor, 327
- subjecthood, and descending power, 354
- subjecthood, conditioning for, 350
- subjecthood, examples of, 355
- theory, lack of, 12
- three concerns in its literature, 15
- two types of, 16

- vagueness of term, 12
and voting, 16
See also Legal profession: and citizenship
- City government, jurisdiction in. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- City managers. *See* Professionalism: city managers and county administrators
- Civic community, as Tocquevillian ideal, 342
- Civic grand jury. *See* Legislation, suggestions for: “civic” rather than “civil” grand jury
- Civic journalism. *See* Newspapers, news media: civic journalism replacing traditional investigative reporting
- Civic re-armament. *See* Social science research project concerning citizenship: civic re-armament
- Civic troubleshooters, 17
- Civic values
access to public records, 319
accountability in office, 336
attending public meetings, 319
citizenship, investigations, and the spirit of the institution, 326–327
common good, 319
and “Cook’s Tours” final reports, 317
examples of, 318–320
explanation of term, 35, 317
gifts of public funds, 319
occupations of grand jurors and, 317
open government, 319
professional management of government, 318
public employment and favoritism, 320, 339
reputation of civil grand jury, relationship to, 320
sovereignty of citizens, 327
three categories of, 320
See also Investigations and civic values, five examples
- Civics
brief history of civics education, 332
influence of commercial interests on curriculum, 331
lack of education about in schools, 392n.17
National Standards for Civics and Government, 333
“problems of democracy” perspective in civics textbooks, 332
public administration practitioners and civics education, 333
textbooks, earlier era, 332
- Civil function of the grand jury
authority for, three types of, 40
defined, 1
elsewhere in the United States, 254
as focus of study, 27
watchdog function, another term for, 1
See also History of the grand jury
- Civil Grand Jury Training, Communication and Efficiency Act of 1997, 204, 311, 351
- Civil investigation**
- Civility. *See* Ax grinding: and civility
- Civil powers**
- Civil proceeding**
- Civil suits against grand jurors. *See* Penal Code Section 930: and immunity and privilege defined
- Clinton* case
authority of foremen, committees, individual grand jurors, 81
ruling and implications for grand jurors, 56, 208
See also Appendix A
See also Culture, grand jury: and *Clinton* case
- “Cocoon” citizen. *See* Citizen(s): “cocoon” citizens
- Coding decisions for study. *See* Appendix A
- Coffee houses as civic institutions, 352

- Collegial** and corporate nature of the grand jury
 collegial bodies defined, 206
 creating and maintaining, 206–209
 examples of problems, 150–155
 experience-and-skills inventory, 207–208
 foreman's role, misunderstanding of, 150–151
 “get acquainted” questionnaire, 207
 peer-review procedures, developing, 208–209
 practices related to the collegial nature of the grand jury, criterion of, 66–67
 suggestions concerning, 231–232
See also Clinton case: ruling and implications for grand jurors
See also Committees of grand juries: emphasis on
- Commendations of public officials by grand jurors
 Orange County Grand Jury, 5
 “profile” of a candidate for public office, 257
- Comments of public officials concerning grand jury investigations
 compliance with statute requiring, 178
 eighteen types of, 176
 ensuring compliance with comment requirements, 178–179
 examples of grand jurors' dissatisfaction with, 53
 foreman urged judge to ensure compliance, 179
 grand jurors' comments about, 176–178
 interim reports, no requirement for public officials to comment, 52, 53, 184
 “no comment,” opinion concerning, 202
 order by court to comply with requirement, 52
- requirements for (in 10-section format) (*see* Investigative reports, individual: comment requirements)
 statute requiring, 175
 three methods to ensure filing of, 52–57
 use of “comments” and “responses” in statute, 411n.32
 and word choice, 179–180
See also Legislation, suggestions for: comments to findings and recommendations presumed to represent position of official or body to whom directed
See also Writ of mandate
- Committees of grand juries
 assignments, preferences for, 207
 emphasis on, 151–152, 196
 inadvisable to create too early in year, 212
 influence of names on workload (*see* Workload: influence of committee names on)
 line and administrative, 212
 names of as titles for investigative reports, 196, 197
 names of in 1963, 74
 names of in handbooks, procedures manuals, 74
 names of in study period, 77–78
 organization and procedures, deciding, 211–214
 persistence of names, 74–76
 trends in organization, 78
See also Editorial committees, suggested tasks of
- Common good
 special-interest politics destructive of, 330
See also Civic values: common good
- Common law**
 origins of the grand jury, 41
 powers of the grand jury replaced by statutes, 30, 39, 41, 254

- Communist Party, Russia, 330
- Community criteria
 community-criteria-related suggestions for improving investigations and reports, 232–236
 discussion of, 67–68, 163–181
- Complainant(s)**, rarely identified by name, 81
- Complaint(s)**
 accounting for status of by Santa Cruz County Grand Jury, 168
 from citizens, final reports contain little information about, 72
 examples of reasons for rejecting, 82
 managing, 78–82
- Computers, use of in grand jury service, 174–175
- Conclusionary**
- Conclusions.** *See* Investigative reports, individual: conclusions regarding findings
- Condemnations of named or otherwise identifiable public officials or private persons
 basis for libel suits, 63
See also Legislation, suggestions for: names of public officials in reports only in cases of non-criminal failure to discharge public duty
- Confidentiality and secrecy**
 criteria of, 66
 issues for grand jurors to consider, 230
 leaks, examples of, 418n.43
 problems with, 148–150
 public officials, leaks by, 407n.105
 secrecy, discussion of in the *McClatchy* case, 231
 secrecy, necessity for in grand jury proceedings, 54
 Social Security number, disclosure by grand jury, 150
 statutes governing, 418n.44
- See also* Raw evidentiary material holding: problem of
- Conflict of interest**
 allegation against Tulare County Grand Jury concerning, 205
 among grand jurors who are former or currently employed public employees, 272, 307
 example of public employee on grand jury and, 273
 examples of grand jurors who had in civil investigations, 133, 207, 307
 grand jury recognition of, example, 107
 hypothetical example, 308
See also Justified investigations: signs of conflict of interest
- Conflict resolution, as a type of investigation, 102
- Confusion about identity and purpose of the institution. *See* Grand jury: confusion about identity and purpose
- Conscience of the community. *See* Idealized conception of the grand jury: conscience of the community
- Constitution, California, provision for grand juries, 42
- Consultants for grand juries
 availability of, 51
See also Audit(s)
See also Crimes, investigations of: grand juries may not employ detectives
See also Expenditures of grand juries: for “experts,” “assistants,” “appraisers,” etc.
See also Jails
- Continuity
 criterion of, 67–68, 170–181
 desirable and undesirable forms of, 235–236
 examples of methods to ensure, 170, 235

- See also* Information for succeeding grand juries
- See also* Investigations: recommending to successors
- See also* Tracking investigations, recommendations, and comments
- Contra Costa County Grand Jury discontinuance of appointing carry-over grand jurors, 275
- Contracts for auditing services. *See* Audits: statutes authorizing grand juries to contract for
- Controversy
See Grand jury: controversy, grand jury embroiled in unnecessary
See Bureaucratic encounters and conflicts
See Persistent problems of grand juries, examples of
- “Cook’s Tours” investigative reports trivial findings and recommendations, 166
See also Appendix A
See also Civic values: and “Cook’s Tours” final reports
- Corporate nature of grand jury. *See generally* Collegial and corporate nature of the grand jury
- Corrections**
- Corroboration**
- Corruption in government. *See generally* Fraud, graft, and corruption
- Cost estimates of problems identified in final reports
See Penal Code Section 916: problems identified in final reports, cost estimates for
See Training grand jurors: for estimating costs and documenting findings
- Counsel(s), county**
See Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury: county counsels of California
- See* San Diego County Grand Jury: dispute with County Counsel
- See* Trinity County Grand Jury dispute with County Counsel
- Counsel, legal**
See Advisors, legal
See Legislation, suggestions for: special counsel for civil grand juries
- County clerk
 assaulted by tax protesters, 328
 final report filed with, 52
- County employees as grand jury support staff
 effects on grand jury culture, 256
See also under Independence
- County government
 county officers, departments, functions, authorization for investigation, *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
 emphasis on, 47, 76
- Court.** *See under* Superior court(s)
- Court of last resort.** *See* Idealized conception of the grand jury, court of last resort
- Crimes, investigations of; grand juries may not employ detectives, 393n.22
- Criminal justice**
- Criminal proceeding**
- Criteria** used for study
 community-related, 23
 evolution of, 24
 how developed, 61
 implications for grand jury, 155–160
 law-related, 23, 63–67
 number of examples in database for each criterion, 24–26
 rationale for, 22–26
 sources for development of, 23
 technical, 24
- Critical thinking. *See* Citizen(s): critical thinking, decline in skills of

- Criticism of the grand jury
 as basis for legislative proposal, 204
 elite character, for its, *See* Selection:
 elite character, criticism of
 grand jury for its
 an example of concerning “business-
 man outlook,” 269
- Culture, grand jury
 and *Clinton* case, 305
 and former grand jurors, 256
 means of transmitting, 256
 as term is used in this study, 256
 and volunteer grand jurors, 256
See also Charge, judicial: and grand
 jury culture
See also County employees as grand
 jury support staff: effects on
 grand jury culture
See also Formal and informal grand
 jury relationships
See also Procedures manuals: effects
 on grand jury culture
- D**
- Dahl, Robert, 17
- Database for study, overview. *See*
 Appendix A
- Decisional law** affecting the civil
 grand jury. *See* Case law: as
 part of patchwork body of
 grand jury law
- “Deep citizenship.” *See* Citizenship:
 “deep citizenship”
- Defendant**
- Definitions of terms used in study,
 33–37
- Deliberation, fostering, 210
- Deliberative nature of the grand jury
 and its fact-finding function, 259
See also Collegial and corporate
 nature of the grand jury
- Democratization of the grand jury. *See*
 Grand jury, democratization of
- Descending government
 citizens as junior partners, 333
 and legislation restricting grand jury
 independence, 351
- Detectives, grand jury may not
 employ. *See* Crimes, investiga-
 tion of
- Discharge of grand jurors or grand jury
See Legislation, suggestions for:
 discharge of grand jurors
See Santa Clara County Grand Jury,
 dissolution of
See Santa Cruz County Grand Jury:
 discharged by judge
- Disclosure to public officials of findings
 and recommendations. *See*
 Public officials: disclosures to of
 findings and recommendations
 by grand jury
- Discontent, of citizens. *See* Citizen(s):
 discontent and distrust of
 government, study by National
 Civic League
- Discretionary** authority of grand jury
 destruction of notes, drafts, etc.,
 235
 development of investigative
 process, 226
See also Grand jurors: discretion of
See also Penal Code Section 916: as
 “self-government” charter for
 grand jury
- Distributing final reports. *See* Final
 reports, distributing
- District attorney(s)**
 conflict with foreman in New York
 State, 399n.20
 deference to by grand jurors and
 social status, 312
 grand jury as puppet of, 254
 grand jury subordinate to, 258
 indictment hearing, authority of to
 demand, 28
 “my grand jury,” use of phrase by,
 253
 office sign, and grand jury, 258
 political aspirations of and the
 grand jury, 255

- See also* El Dorado County Grand Jury conflict with District Attorney
- See also* Independence: “rubber stamp” grand juries
- See also* Indictment(s): decision to indict exclusively that of grand jury
- See also* Public officials: public defenders, relationships of grand juries with
- See also* Selection: “blue ribbon” grand jury and district attorney
- See also* Sierra County Grand Jury inquiry into involvement of Board of Supervisors in decisions by District Attorney
- Districts, special. *See* Special district(s)
- Documentation of final reports
examples of (*see* Table 4, Chapter 2)
requirement for (*see* Table 4, Chapter 2)
- Documented evidence**
See Legislation, suggestions for: facts, findings, conclusions, recommendations sufficiently documented
See Table 4, Chapter 2
- Due process**
Durkheim, Emile, 14
- E**
- Eavesdropping on grand jurors. *See* Recording, listening to, observing grand jury proceedings
- Editing or sealing final reports, authority of court. *See* Legislation, suggestions for: expungement of grand jury reports by court
- Editorial committees, suggested tasks of, 246–248
- Education
alternative schools, 355
changes in and citizenship, 266–268
contributions of for citizen research, 331
grand jury jurisdiction in public schools (*see* Public school districts: grand jury jurisdiction in)
school vouchers, 355
- El Dorado County Grand Jury conflict with District Attorney, 116, 201
- Elderly persons as grand jurors, 266
- Eligibility for grand jury service. *See* Selection, “competent” requirement in Penal Code
- Elite character of grand jury, criticism for its. *See* Selection: elite character, criticism of grand jury for its
- Employee benefits and welfare, as a type of investigation, 102
- Employees, private business, as grand jurors, 266
- Employees, public, as grand jurors. *See generally* Public employees as grand jurors
- Entities**, how the term is used in government, 47
- Equipment, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Escheat** proceedings, grand jury authority concerning
See Legislation, suggestions for: escheat proceedings, eliminate statute concerning
See Table 3, Chapter 2
- Ethics in grand jury service
code of conduct, how maintained, 213
suggested code of conduct, 416n.26
- Evidence**
See Documented evidence
See Factuality
-

- See* Legislation, suggestions for:
 facts, findings, conclusions,
 recommendations sufficiently
 documented
 factuality, at least two indepen-
 dent facts substantiating
 material from interview
 Penal Code Section 916, amend-
 ments to emphasize factuality
 standard of proof required for
 reports of investigations
- Examination**
- Exemptions from grand jury service
 officials, elected, 339
See also Selection: exemptions from
 grand jury service, elected
 public officers and others
- Expenditures of grand juries
 authority for court to order addi-
 tional funds, 86
 authorization for, 85
 compared to those of boards of
 supervisors, 1984–1996, 87–
 92
 description of trends, 90
 effects on quality of investigations
 and reports, 256
 for “experts,” “assistants,”
 “appraisers,” etc., 86
 grand juries and boards of supervi-
 sors in five counties, 90–92
 independence, implications for, 86
 published by Office of the Controller,
 State of California, 400n.23
 and the spirit of the institution, 94
 statute forbidding grand jury to
 exceed budget, 86
 statutes concerning, 85–87
 trends, 87–92
 where information about found, 85
See also Appendix A
See also Resources of grand juries
- Expenses of grand jurors
 fees and mileage, 92–93
See also Opinions pertaining to the
 civil grand jury, Office of the
 Attorney General of the State
 of California, examples:
 expenses of grand jurors
- Experience and skills inventory. *See*
 Collegial and corporate nature
 of the grand jury: experience-
 and-skills inventory
- Experts, grand jury authority to hire.
See generally Consultants for
 grand juries
- Expunge, authority for court to
See Final reports: court, refusal by
 to file, edit, seal
See Legislation, suggestions for:
 expungement
- External features of final reports. *See*
 Final reports: external features
- F**
- Fact-finding, citizens’ skills of. *See*
 Citizen(s): critical thinking,
 decline in skills of
- Facts
 examples of, 228
 no explicit requirement for in grand
 jury reports, 261
 term rarely used in grand jury
 reports, 194
- Facts, findings, conclusions, and
 recommendations, a chain of
 reasoning, 229
- Factuality
 decrease of in final reports because
 of “raw evidentiary material”
 problem, 263
 need for, 222–223
 suggestions for internal training in,
 223–224
See also Writing styles, objective and
 subjective
- Fair comment**
 criterion of, 63–64
 examples of problems, 129–132
 names, publishing in final reports,
 128

public officials, fiduciary duty, 128
suggestions for training to ensure,
217–218

Fees and mileage. *See* Expenses of
grand jurors: fees and mileage

Felony

Filing final reports, acceptance by court
See Final reports: acceptance
statement by judge
See Legislation, suggestions for:
privilege for grand jurors when
judge signs declaration

Final reports

acceptance statement by judge, 238
artwork and binding, 183
contents and page numbers, 238
court, refusal by to file, edit, seal, 55
cover and binding, 237
distributing, 197–199
external features, 182–184
factuality not necessarily improved
by how organized, 267
first inside page, 237
format, suggested for individual
investigative reports (*see*
Investigative reports, individual:
format suggested for)
format, suggestions for entire
document, 236
how to obtain, 387n.39
indexes for, 185
internal features, 185–197
Internet, publication of final
reports, 246
minority reports as sign of frag-
mented grand juries, 232
minority reports, legality of, 53
as newspaper inserts, 1, 198–199,
246, 247, 419n.52
paying newspapers to publish, 316
preface, 239
principal source of study data, *See*
Method used for study: final
reports as principal source of
data for study
printing of, 185–186

readability and jargon, 196–197
size and length of, 183–184
and social science research, 339
statutes governing issuance of, 51
tables of contents for, 185
“tabloid” formats, a suggested
alternative, 245–246
“tabloid” formats, reasons for not
using, 419n.52
“tabloid” formats used by grand
juries in mid-nineteenth
century, 414n.78
ten-section format, 236–245
titles of investigations, 196
typography, 185–186
See also Interim reports
See also Investigative reports,
individual

Finances of grand juries. *See generally*
Expenditures of grand juries

Finances, statutes governing grand
jury. *See* Expenditures of grand
juries: statutes concerning

Financial audits. *See* Audits: financial,
examples of

Finding(s)

ambiguity of word, 227, 260
cost estimates for, 141, 227–228
definition of, 227
legislative clarification of, 260
problems with, 142–143
requirement to document, 50, 141
vague definition of in *McClatchy*
case, 263
verification by public officials, 203
See also Findings and recommenda-
tions: legality and effectiveness
See also Investigative reports,
individual: findings
See also Penal Code Section 916:
findings, need for definition of

Findings and recommendations
criterion of, 65–66
editorial committee as “devil’s
advocate,” 229
legality and effectiveness, 141–148

- reporting in newspaper, *See* Final reports, “tabloid” formats, a suggested alternative suggestions for more effective, 226–230
See also “Cook’s Tours” investigative reports: trivial findings and recommendations
- First Amendment
 and citizenship skills, 313
 and freedom of the press, 350
 and informed citizens, 337
 and representative government, 319
- Fiscal-year grand juries. *See* Term of service for civil grand jurors: fiscal-year grand juries
- “Fishing expedition”
 abhorrent to American character, 55
 origin of term, possible, 396n.46
- Five-point test for deciding merits of conducting an investigation, 219
- Flow chart for investigations. *See* Investigative procedures and process: suggested flow chart
- Follow-up study of investigations. *See generally* Tracking investigations, recommendations and comments
- Foreman**, forewoman
 appointment by court, 150
 conflict with county secretarial staff member, 258
 credentials of, misleading, 305
 defining role of, 209
 improper or unauthorized exercise of authority, 127, 407n.108
 reports of not conducive to cohesiveness, 232
See also Clinton case
See also Collegial and corporate nature of the grand jury: foreman’s role
- Formal and informal grand jury relationships, effects on grand jury culture, 256
- Formats for entire final reports and individual investigative reports
See Final reports: format, suggestions for entire document
See Investigative reports, individual: format suggested for
- Former grand jurors. *See* Culture, grand jury: and former grand jurors
- “Forms,” dislike by American citizens of, 156
- Fraud, graft, and corruption
 absence of references to in civics textbooks, 342
 grand juries less involved in investigations of than in past, 255
 grand jury involvement in indictments for, advantages of, 299
- Funds, suit ordering recovery of. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- G**
- General session**
- Georgia grand jury, 295
- “Get acquainted” questionnaire. *See* Collegial and corporate nature of the grand jury: “get acquainted” questionnaire
- Gillett-Harris-Duranceau & Associates v. Kemple*
 need for grand jurors to be familiar with case, 217
See also Case law
See also Libel, suit against Lake County Grand Jury
- Goals 2000, 134, 318, 333
- The Good Citizen*. *See* Citizenship: *Good Citizen, The*
- “Good enough” citizens. *See* Citizen(s): “good enough”
- Governance, as a type of investigation, 101
- Government review and inspection, as a type of investigation, 101
- Graft. *See generally* Fraud, graft, and corruption

- Grand juror(s)
- absenteeism, effects on investigations, 140
 - acting alone, 155
 - attitudes toward government, 268–269
 - discharged by judge (*see* Santa Cruz County Grand Jury: discharged by judge)
 - discretion of, 21, 46
 - emphasis on, 152–155
 - fear of liability, 261
 - impatience with training for objectivity, 267
 - individual, may declare knowledge of public offenses (*see* Public offense(s): grand jurors may declare knowledge of)
 - lack of knowledge (*see* Local government: grand jurors' lack of knowledge)
 - number of assenting votes required, 396n.51
 - number to be impaneled, 388n.49 and social status, 253, 310–312
- Grand jury**
- abuse of by grand jurors, 3
 - as afterglow of the “Big Bang” of the American Revolution, 355
 - authority, three types of, 27
 - bad practice creates bad law, 158–159
 - benevolent paternalism of in seventeenth century, 310
 - and Bill of Rights, 160
 - as “blind eye” of social science, 352
 - challenges to, examples of, 53
 - confusion about identity and purpose, 157–158
 - controversy, grand jury embroiled in unnecessary, 159–160
 - democratization of, 310
 - as force for civic improvement, 31, 255
 - history (*see* History of the grand jury)
 - institutional prestige and dignity, how diminished, 159
 - integrity and objectivity, raising doubts about, 158
 - as “lost continent” of social science, 352
 - as mediating institution, 14
 - as microcosm of the larger political system, 11
 - as model of effective governance, 119
 - and “private room,” 259
 - reports and citizenship, 12
 - “runaway” grand jury (*see* Independence, “runaway” grand jury)
 - size of, reduction in, 296
 - State government, civil grand jury proposed for, 296
 - State grand jury nonexistent in California, 34
 - See also* Civil function of the grand jury
 - See also* Idealized conception of the grand jury
 - See also* Institutional purpose
 - See also* School for public affairs, grand jury as
- Grand jury box. *See* Selection: grand jury box
- Grand Jury Exchange Seminars
- accomplishments of grand juries, 30
 - examples of topics, 266
 - training in investigative methods, 267
- “Great equalizer.” *See* Idealized conception of the grand jury
- Group think. *See* Citizen(s): group-think theory
- H**
- Harm, documenting. *See* Significance of investigations and final reports: documenting “harm”
 - Hawkins* decision, 82
 - Hearsay evidence
-

- History of the grand jury
 Alameda County Grand Jury, 390n.1
 Assize of Clarendon, 254
 civil function, expansion of in
 California, 254
 common-law origins, 391n.5
 example from late seventeenth-
 century England, 39
 Mariposa County Grand Jury,
 414n.78
 origins of the civil function, 253
 three stages of development, 253–
 255
 “triers” as precursors to the grand
 jury, 419n.3
See also Common law
See also Grand jury: and Bill of
 Rights
- Hold-over grand jurors. *See generally*
 Carry-over grand jurors
- Housing affairs and authority**, grand
 jury authority concerning. *See*
 Table 3, Chapter 2
- I**
- Idealized conception of the grand jury
 conscience of the community, 35
 court of last resort, 45
 great equalizer, 252
 ombudsman, 45, 252
See also Spirit of the institution
- Immunity**. *See* Penal Code Section
 930: and immunity
- Impanelment** of grand jurors. *See*
generally Selection
- Imprisoned but not indicted persons,
 grand jury authority concern-
 ing. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Incorporated city**. *See* Table 3,
 Chapter 2
- Indebtedness of local governments. *See*
 Investigations and civic values,
 five examples
- Independence
 associations of former grand jurors,
 127
- concept not mentioned in grand
 jury statutes, 62
 county employee as “assistant” to
 grand jury, 258
 criterion of, 63
 ensuring, 215–216
 essay contests sponsored by grand
 juries for public schools, 257
 examples of problems, 125–127
 former grand jurors, attempts by to
 influence grand juries (*see*
 Culture, grand jury: and
 former grand jurors)
 grand jury “administrator,” 259
 historical development of, 253
 practices detracting from, 216
 “rubber stamp” grand juries, 253
 reports and citizenship, 12
 “runaway” grand jury, 253
 “twentieth grand juror,” 259
See also District attorney(s)
See also Formal and informal grand
 jury relationships
See also Institutional purpose
See also Public officials
See also Spirit of the institution
- Indexes to reports
 in 10-section format, 245
 not needed, comment by grand
 juror, 413n.60
See also Final reports: indexes for
- Indictment(s)**
 commentary by grand jurors
 concerning, 82
 decision to indict exclusively that of
 grand jury, 298
 definition of, 28
 diverting grand jury from civil
 function, 83
 effects on grand jury workload, 82–
 85, 317
 excluded generally from study, 27
 Mariposa County Grand Jury,
 indictments filed by, 4, 205
 persons imprisoned but not indicted
 (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)

- presentment of (*see* Presentment: of indictment)
- prosecutor involvement in, 28
- trends in, 1973–1997, 83
- See also* District attorney
- See also* Hawkins decision
- Individual grand jurors
- See* Clinton case: authority of foremen, committees, individual grand jurors
- See generally* Collegial and corporate nature of the grand jury
- Information** (as prosecution process)
- Information for succeeding grand juries
- opinion concerning (*see* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: information given by grand jury to successor)
- statute authorizing, 235
- Inquisitorial**
- Inserts, final reports as newspapers. *See* Final reports: as newspaper inserts
- Institutionalized problems. *See generally* Persistent problems of grand juries and citizenship
- Institutional purpose
- need for clear statutory definition, 253
- See also* Intent, legislative
- See also* Spirit of the institution
- Instructions by court. *See generally* Charge, judicial
- Intent, legislative**
- concerning civil grand jury, need for statement of, 280–281
- suggested wording, 280
- Interim reports**
- no legal basis for, 52
- no requirement for public officials to comment (*see* Comments of public officials concerning grand jury investigations: interim reports, no requirement for public officials to comment) use of, 184–185
- Internal auditors
- in local governments (*see* Investigations and civic values, five examples: internal auditors in local government, extent of)
- See also* Audit(s)
- Internet
- See* Citizen(s): Internet and citizen activism
- See* Final reports: Internet, publication of final reports
- Interviews conducted by grand jurors. *See* Penal Code Section 916: two-person interview requirement
- Intimidation
- of citizens by public officials, 340
- of grand jurors (*see* Legislation, suggestions for: intimidation of grand jurors punishable as felony)
- SLAPPs (Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation), 341
- Investigations, civil**
- Investigations
- backgrounding, 412n.51
- causes of problems in conducting, 226
- grand jurors, need for training in conducting factual, 267
- how grand jurors conduct, 264
- improving grand jury investigations and reports (*see* Appendix C)
- law authorizing (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)
- major, individual (*see generally* Investigative reports, individual)
- methods of grand juries rarely documented, 28
- number by topic, 97
- number by type of local government, 96–97
- number by year, 94–96
-

- number of topics by county, 95
 of police departments, 105–108
 organizing for, 74–78
 range in number, 96
 recommending to successors, 170–171
 seventeen most frequent topics, 97
 statutorily justified, examples, 110–111
 types of, 100–105
 voting on (*see* Voting: documenting approval of investigations and reports)
 “wrap-up” investigations as strategy, 180–181
See also Validity: suggestions to improve
- Investigations and civic values, five examples
 indebtedness of local governments, 322–323
 internal auditors in local government, extent of, 324–325
 library, county, method of selecting periodicals, 323–324
 “millennium bug” problem, adequacy of preparing for, 325–326
 quasi-local governments, 321
- Investigative procedures and process
 benefits of, 224–226
 suggested flow chart, 224
- Investigative reporting, decline of and citizenship. *See* Newspapers, news media: investigative reporting, decline of and citizenship
- Investigative reports, individual
 authority for investigation, 242
 chronology of main issues, 243
 comment requirements, 241
 conclusion of report, 243
 conclusions regarding findings, 241
 findings, 241
 format suggested for, 239–243
 frequency of categories, 186–193
- local government, description of, 242
 methods and validity of investigations, 243
 narrative, 242–243
 organized by category, 186–195
 origin of investigation, 242
 persistent problems in use of categories, 193–194
 recommendations, 241
 summary, use of, 240–241
 synopsis, use of, 241
 titles for, 240
- Investigators, grand jury authority to hire for crime-related purposes. *See* Crimes, investigations of: grand juries may not employ detectives
- J**
- Jails**
 consultation concerning available to grand juries, 406n.96
 grand jury authority concerning (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)
- Jargon in final reports. *See* Final reports: readability and jargon
- “Job descriptions” for civil grand jurors, 314
- Joint investigations by committees of two or more grand juries. *See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: committees of grand juries of different counties, joint meetings
- Joint-powers agencies**, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Judges. *See generally* Superior court(s)
- Judicial Council of California. *See* Selection: Judicial Council and standards
- Judiciary. *See generally* Superior court(s)
- Judgment**

Jurisdiction

- authority for investigations and reports, 41
- of civil grand jury in California (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)
- criterion of, 63
- examples of problems, 120–125
- need for thorough understanding of by grand jurors, 216–217
- words used in Penal Code to describe, 48
- See also* Legislation, suggestions for: jurisdiction, clarification, and consolidation of statutes pertaining to
- See also* Superior court(s): no grand jury jurisdiction in

Jurist

- Justified investigations
 - criterion of, 64
 - examples of problems, 132–134
 - need for clear statement of in final reports, 64, 132
 - signs of conflict of interest, 132
 - suggestions for ensuring, 218–219
 - See also* Investigations: statutorily justified, examples

L**LAFCO**

- Lake County Grand Jury
 - See Gillett-Harris-Duranceau & Associates v. Kemple*: need for grand jurors to be familiar with case
 - See* Libel: suit against Lake County Grand Jury
- Land, sales and transfers. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Language, signs, symbols, and the spirit of the institution
 - ax grinding, language use as an indication of, 197, 306
 - citizenship, semantics of the word, 355

- See also* Citizens' Review Committee: proposal to change "grand jury" to
- See also* Comments of public officials concerning grand jury investigations
- See also* District attorney(s): "my grand jury," use of phrase by
- See also* Final reports: readability and jargon
- See also* Finding(s): ambiguity of word
- See also* Legislation, suggestions for: "civic" rather than "civil" grand jury
- See also* Liability of grand jurors: grand jurors as "vendors"
- See also* Statutory vagueness and ambiguity: examples of
- See also* Writing styles, objective and subjective
- Law-related criteria. *See* Criteria used for study: law-related
- Lawsuits
 - grand jurors' fear of (*see* Grand juror(s): fear of liability)
 - See also* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: indemnification and defense of grand jurors
- Leaks and breaches of confidentiality. *See* Confidentiality and secrecy: leaks, examples of
- Legal advisors** to grand jury. *See generally* Advisors, legal
- Legal profession
 - and citizenship, 313
 - publications and research concerning active citizenship, need for, 346
 - See also* Citizenship: as legal profession uses term
- Legislation, five types of proposed, 279

- Legislation, suggestions for, 278–297
- abolition or creation of offices, eliminating authority to recommend, 288
 - altering, obstructing, or revealing contents of any grand jury document is punishable as felony, 293
 - budgets of grand juries established by courts, 288
 - carry-over grand jurors, eliminate statute, 283
 - “civic” rather than “civil” grand jury, 282
 - comments to findings and recommendations presumed to represent position of official or body to whom directed, 293
 - complaints, received by panel, provision for in Penal Code Section 916, 260
 - complaints to grand jury received and considered only by grand jury as a body, obstruction of delivery in felony, 294
 - conflict of interest, duty of grand jurors to declare, 292
 - conflict of interest, provision of in Penal Code Section 916, 260
 - discharge of grand jurors, 283
 - documents, retention and destruction guidelines in Penal Code Section 916, 260
 - escheat proceedings, eliminate statute concerning, 286
 - expenditures of grand juries included in published budgets, 288
 - expungement of grand jury reports by court for violation of confidentiality, 290
 - expungement of grand jury reports by court authorized if court deems such material libelous, 289
 - facts, findings, and conclusions; judge must define in charges to civil grand jurors, 292
 - facts, findings, conclusions, recommendations sufficiently documented, 291
 - factuality, at least two independent facts substantiating material from interview, 290
 - foreman, authority of, 294
 - foremen, forewomen, recommended by grand jurors, 283
 - grand jurors, as individuals or members or subdivisions of grand jury, limit of authority, 294
 - grand jurors exempt from prosecution when court accepts report, 289
 - indictment use solely at grand jury’s discretion, 288
 - intimidation of grand jurors punishable as felony, 294
 - investigations must be justified in reports, 289
 - judge of superior court may order public official to submit responsive comment, 293
 - jurisdiction, clarification, and consolidation of statutes pertaining to, 284
 - legislative intent, suggested wording (*see* Intent, legislative: suggested wording)
 - materials, except those pertaining to indictments, may upon vote of necessary number of grand jurors be transmitted to succeeding grand juries, 294
 - “may” and “shall” in consolidated statute of grand jury jurisdiction, 285
 - membership in advocacy associations not permitted, 288
 - minority reports, issuance of, 294

- names of public officials in reports only in cases of noncriminal failure to discharge public duty, 289
- names or other identifying information permitted in reports only if grand jury has voted to include and sealing of vote, 289
- organize statutes into logical sequence, 281
- Penal Code Section 916, amendments to emphasize factuality, 291
- policy matters of local government, not within grand jury jurisdiction, 285
- presentments, restoration of (*see generally* Presentment)
- prisons, public; discretionary rather than mandatory duty to investigate, 286
- privilege denied for publication of plagiarized or undocumented reports, 291
- privilege for grand jurors when judge signs declaration, 289
- procedures manuals or handbook, annual revision required, 291
- public employees exempt from grand jury service, 283
- public-integrity crimes, prosecution by indictment only, 286
- public officials may discuss only facts qualified for public disclosure concerning office prior to publication of report concerning such office, 291
- public officials need not comment about matters not within jurisdiction of grand jury, 293
- public officials need not file comments about matters not independently investigated, 291
- public officials not complying with comment requirement, penalty for, 293
- relatives of grand jurors; grand jurors must be excluded from investigations, 292
- remove civil grand jury statutes from Penal Code, 281
- salary status of public officials, discretionary duty rather than mandatory, 286
- sealing of votes, Penal Code Section 930 amended to permit, 289
- selection (*see under* Selection)
- special counsel for civil grand juries, 288
- staggered-term statute, elimination of, 283
- standard of proof required for reports of investigations, 292
- Statement of Economic Interests, grand jurors exempt from filing, 283
- votes of grand jurors, affirmation in report of required number, 292
- Legislative district, special; grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Legislative intent. *See* Intent, legislative Lewis, C. S., 31
- Liability** of grand jurors
grand jurors as “vendors,” 261
insurance provisions not specified in statutes, 261
See also Grand juror(s): fear of liability
See also Lawsuits
See also Penal Code Section 930
- Libel**
chilling effects of threats of lawsuits for, 262
example of possible lawsuit for, 267
“negligent, incompetent, and wrong,” 55
statute pertaining to (Penal Code Section 930), 62
suit against Lake County Grand Jury, 54
-

- suit against Yuba County Grand Jury, 9–12, 204, 347
- suits for, 2
- term not in index to Penal Code relative to civil grand jury, 42, 260
- training topics for grand jurors, 217
- See also* Appendix B
- See also* Statutory vagueness and ambiguity: as defense in libel suit
- Librarians for grand juries, duties of, 181
- Library, county. *See* Investigations and civic values, five examples
- Library, grand jury
- establishing, 181
 - missing files, 412n.54
- Limitations of study, 27–31
- Local Agency Formation Commissions (LAFCO), grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Local government
- description of (*see* Investigative reports, individual)
 - grand jurors' lack of knowledge, 48
- Los Angeles County Grand Jury
- photographs of grand jurors, 153
 - pop-culture celebrity and, 312
 - “secret” investigation conducted by, 165
 - selection of grand jurors, 1929–1945, 269
- “Lost continent” of social science, grand jury as. *See* Grand jury: as “lost continent” of social science
- M**
- Major investigative reports. *See under* Investigative reports, individual
- Malfeasance**
- See* Accusation for malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
 - See* Presentment: of malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
- Mandamus**
- Manuals, procedures for grand juries.
- See* Procedures manuals, handbooks, guides
- Mariposa County Grand Jury
- See* History of the grand jury: Mariposa County Grand Jury
 - See* Indictment(s): Mariposa County Grand Jury, indictments filed by
- Mass society, predictions by Durkheim and de Tocqueville, 14
- May. *See* “Shall” and “may” in statutes
- McClatchy cases**
- concerning minority reports (*see* Final reports: minority reports, legality of)
 - concerning raw evidentiary material (*see generally* Raw evidentiary material holding)
- Mediating institutions. *See* Grand jury: as mediating institution
- Mediocrity, triviality of investigations and final reports. *See generally* Significance of investigations and final reports
- Meeting room of grand jury, 258
- Merced County Grand Jury. *See* Validity: Merced County Grand Jury plagiarism problem
- Methods and validity of investigation.
- See* Investigative reports, individual: methods and validity of investigations
- Methods of grand jury investigations
- words used in Penal Code to describe. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
 - See also* Investigations: methods of grand juries rarely documented
- Method used for study
- database overview, 94–97
 - final reports as principal source of data for study, 26–27
 - notes, number of, 22

- number of final reports in study and how obtained, 21–22
 research strategies other than those used for study, 32–33
See also Appendix A
- Mileage. *See* Expenses of grand jurors: fees and mileage
- “Millennium bug” problem. *See* Investigations and civic values, five examples
- Minor investigations, routine inspections, tours, visits (in 10-section format), 243–244
- Minority reports.** *See* Final reports: minority reports
- Misconduct by public officers. *See* Accusation for malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
- Misdemeanor**
- Misfeasance**
See Accusation for malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
See Presentment: of malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
- Monies, public, order to recover. *See* Presentment: to recover public monies or property
- Monitorial citizen. *See* Citizen(s): monitorial
- N**
- Names, publishing in final reports
See Fair comment: names, publishing in final reports
See Legislation, suggestions for: names of public officials in reports only in cases of non-criminal failure to discharge public duty
- Narrative. *See* Investigative reports, individual: narrative
- “Negligent, incompetent, and wrong.”
See Libel: “negligent, incompetent, and wrong”
- Nepotism in grand jury service
See Civic values: public employment and favoritism
See generally Conflict of interest
See Legislation, suggestions for: relatives of grand jurors; grand jurors must be excluded from investigations
- News media. *See* Social science research project concerning citizenship: news media, contributions of
- Newspaper inserts, final reports as. *See* Final reports: as newspaper inserts
- Newspapers, news media
 achievements of grand juries, reports of, 113–114
 “booster journalism,” 336
 civic journalism replacing traditional investigative reporting, 336
 contacting grand jurors, 163
 coverage of grand juries, examples of, 304, 336, 339
 error rates in, 387n.42
 investigative reporting as Hollywood theme, 334
 investigative reporting, decline of and citizenship, 313
 journalism by telephone, 336
 “news blackout” of local government (*see* Citizenship: “news blackout” of local government as barrier to development of) as profitable “industries,” 335
 public confidence, loss of in, 335
See also Citizenship: news media and
See also First Amendment
- Nominating grand jurors. *See generally* Selection
- “No comment.” *See* Comments of public officials concerning grand jury investigations: “no comment,” opinion concerning
- Nonfeasance**
See Accusation for malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
-

- See* Presentment: of malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance
- Nonprofit corporations**
ambiguity of term, 46
See also Table 3, Chapter 2
- Normative analysis, distaste for. *See* Social science disciplines: normative analysis, distaste for
- O**
- Oath** taken by grand jurors, 56
- Objective and subjective writing styles. *See* Writing styles
- Obstructing justice**
- Officers of grand juries
except for foremen, how chosen, 49
proliferation of titles, 232
See also Foreman, forewoman
- Officials, elected, as grand jurors. *See* Exemptions from grand jury service: officials, elected
- Oldfield, Adrian, 16
- Ombudsman.** *See* Idealized conception of the grand jury
- Open Meeting Law**
- “Openness” in grand jury selection.
See Selection: “openness”
- Operations, accounts, records, books, documents; investigation of.
See Table 3, Chapter 2
- Opinion(s), legal**
- Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, 57–59
- Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples, 57–59
- additional grand jury in each county, 58
- Atascadero Hospital not within meaning of Penal Code Section 919(b), 58
- committees of grand juries of different counties, joint meetings, 58
- courts, no grand jury jurisdiction in, 58
- expenses of grand jurors, 58
- indemnification and defense of grand jurors, 58
- information given by grand jury to successor, 58
- peace officer personnel records, grand jury access to, 58
- policies, no grand jury authority concerning, 59
- teachers and principals, no authority to investigate evaluation of, 59
- county counsels of California, examples, 59–63
- court, municipal, no grand jury jurisdiction in, 59
- district attorney, when grand jury may exclude, 59
- school districts, public, grand jury jurisdiction in, 59
- “shall” and “will,” meaning of, 59
- subpoena, how grand jury may request, 59
- Orange County Grand Jury and financial scandal, 5–6
- photographs of grand jurors, 153
See also Commendations of public officials by grand jurors: Orange County Grand Jury
- Ordinance**
- Organizational assessment, as a type of investigation, 102
- Organizing individual investigative reports. *See* Investigative reports, individual: organized by category
- Orientation of grand jurors. *See generally* Training grand jurors
- Origin of investigation. *See* Investigative reports, individual: origin of investigation
- P**
- Panel**, use of term in study, 34
- “Paying attention.” *See* Citizenship: “paying attention”

- Peace officer personnel records
 grand jury authority concerning (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)
 opinion concerning, *See* Opinions
 pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: peace officer personnel records, grand jury access to
- Peer-review procedures. *See* Collegial and corporate nature of the grand jury: peer-review procedures, developing
- Penal Code**
 authority for investigations and reports, 42–51
 revision of statutes pertaining to civil grand jury (*See generally* Legislation, suggestions for)
 statutes pertaining to civil grand jury not included in study, 391n.7
- Penal Code Section 916
 analysis of, 49–51
 findings, need for definition of, 260
 importance of, 48
 limitations of, 73
 problems identified in final reports, cost estimates for, 50
 procedures, need for additional examples of, 260
 as “self-government” charter for grand jury, 50
 two-person interview requirement, 50
See also Findings: requirement to document
See also Legislation, suggestions for: Penal Code Section 916, amendments to emphasize factuality
See also Officers of grand juries: except for foreman, how chosen
See also Rules of procedure: adoption of
- See also* “Spirit of the institution”: in Penal Code Section 916
 Penal Code Section 929. *See* Raw evidentiary material holding: Penal Code Section 929
 Penal Code Section 930
 constitutionality of, 55
 failure to use word “libel,” 260
 and immunity, 54
 privilege defined, 54
 similar statute not found in most American states, 431n.80
- Performance auditors**
 as trainers of grand jurors, 267
See also Audits: performance, examples of
- Performance of duties, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Perjury**
 Persistent problems of grand juries and citizenship. *See* Citizenship: and persistent problems of grand juries
 examples of, 3–11
See also Culture, grand jury
See also Investigative reports, individual: persistent problems in use of categories
- Personnel records of peace officers. *See* Peace officer personnel records
- Photographs of grand jurors and other persons, 257
 and their social status, 310
See also Los Angeles County Grand Jury: photographs of grand jurors
See also Orange County Grand Jury: photographs of grand jurors
- Placer County Grand Jury tracking study, 172
- Plagiarism**
 word not in statutes, 42
See also Validity: Merced County Grand Jury plagiarism problem
- Plaintiff**
-

- Plea**
- Police departments
See Investigations: of police departments
See generally Peace officer personnel records
- Policy, policies**
 charge by court concerning, 45
 controversy concerning grand jury jurisdiction in, 306–307
 examples of by county counsel, 45
 examples of recommendations about, 134
 explanation by grand juror, 417n.36
 failure of Legislature to be explicit in restricting grand jury jurisdiction in, 285
 lack of authority for civil grand jury, 44
 opinion concerning, *See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: policies, no grand jury authority concerning
 policy-detection exercise, 220
 “policy poaching,” consequences of, 286
 and procedure, criteria for distinguishing between, 221
 and procedure, understanding differences between, 220
 prosecutorial discretion and (*see* Sierra County Grand Jury inquiry into involvement of Board of Supervisors in decisions by District Attorney)
 wisdom of no jurisdiction in, 316
See also Legislation, suggestions for: policy matters of local government, not within grand jury jurisdiction
- Popper, Karl, 351
- Powers of the grand jury. *See generally* Statute(s) pertaining to grand jury powers
- Pragmatism. *See* Citizenship: as pragmatism
- Precedent**
- Preconceptions in grand jury service
See generally Idealized conception of the grand jury
See Spirit of the institution: and what grand jurors want the institution to be
- Preliminary hearing**
- Preponderance of evidence**, 431n.83
- Presentment**
 of findings and recommendations concerning local government, 298
 of indictment, 298–299
 of malfeasance, misfeasance, or nonfeasance, 299–300
 reasons for restoration of, 297
 to recover public monies or property, 300
 use in Ireland, 420, 433
- Presiding judge**
- Printing of final reports. *See* Final reports: printing of
- Priorities of grand jury investigations
See Selective basis: statutes requiring grand jury to conduct certain investigations
See Workload: priorities, two suggested methods for establishing
- Prison(s)**, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Private room
See Grand jury: and “private room”
See Meeting room of grand jury
- Privilege**. *See* Penal Code Section 930: privilege defined
- Probable cause**
- Probation**

- Problems identified in final reports,
cost estimates
See generally Cost estimates of
problems identified in final
reports
- Procedures**
implications of ineffective grand
jury, 155
See also Policy, policies: and
procedures
See also Rules of procedure:
adoption of
- Procedures manuals, handbooks, guides
effects on grand jury culture, 256
errors in, 61
examples of topics in, 61
reviewing of early in grand jury
year, 209–210
usefulness of, 61
- Professionalism
and the rise of the administrative
state, 401n.34
city managers and county adminis-
trators, 255
ethical and technical limitations of,
326
internal auditors and performance
auditors, 255
problem of, 116
See also Civic values: professional
management of government
- Progressive movement
and citizens' associations, 329
and citizenship, 349
espousal of "good government"
principles, 255
- Prosecutor**
Prosecutorial discretion. *See* Sierra
County Grand Jury inquiry
into involvement of Board of
Supervisors in decisions by
District Attorney
- Public defender(s).** *See* Public officials
- Public employees as grand jurors
impartiality of grand jury investiga-
tions by, 265
See also Conflict of interest
See also Selection: public employees
as grand jurors
- Public-integrity offenses**
grand jury formerly involved in
prosecutions for, 255
indictment necessary for prosecution
of, 286
- Public offense(s)
grand jurors may declare knowledge
of, 56, 81
See also Table 3, Chapter 2
- Public officers, removal by accusation.
See Accusation for malfeasance,
misfeasance, nonfeasance
- Public officials
disclosure to of findings and
recommendations by grand
jury, 204
district attorney, *See* El Dorado
County Grand Jury
district attorneys, relationships of
grand juries with, general, 215
elected, exempt from grand jury
service, *See* Exemptions from
grand jury service: officials,
elected
judges, relationships of grand juries
with, 215
public defenders, relationships of
grand juries with, 215
review of grand jury reports, 2
standard of conduct required of
because of fiduciary responsi-
bility (*see* Fair comment: public
officials; fiduciary duty)
See also Bureaucratic encounters and
conflicts
See also Comments of public
officials concerning grand jury
investigations
See also Legislation, suggestions for:
comments to findings and
recommendations presumed to
represent position of official or
body to whom directed
-

- See also* Superior court(s)
- Public school districts
 authority of grand jury not explicit, 47
 grand jury jurisdiction in (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)
 opinion concerning grand jury jurisdiction in (*see* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: teachers and principals, no authority to investigate evaluation of)
 school districts, public (*see* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury: county counsels of California, examples: school districts, public, grand jury jurisdiction in)
 as special districts, 55
See also Independence, essay contests sponsored by grand juries for public schools
- Public scrutiny, required for honest and effective government. *See* Citizenship: scrutiny, public, required for honest, effective government
- Public sessions of grand juries as method of conducting investigation, 325
- Purchase, lease, or sale of equipment, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Q**
- Qualifications for grand jury service. *See generally* Selection
- Quasi-local governments. *See* Investigations and civic values, five examples
- Questionnaire(s)
 ineffective use of, 137
 need for full disclosure in survey research, 7
- See also* San Joaquin County Grand Jury: carry-over study
See also Tehama County Grand Jury carry-over study
- Questions citizens ask about the grand jury, 2
- Quorum of grand jurors. *See generally* Voting
- R**
- Randomness, strict, desirability of in grand jury selection. *See* Selection: randomness, strict, desirability of
- Raw evidentiary material holding as contradiction of Penal Code Section 916, 54, 263
 Penal Code Section 929, 54
 problem of, 262–264
 as source of confusion for grand jurors and legal advisors, 263
See also Factuality: decrease of in final reports because of “raw evidentiary material” problem
- Readability of final reports. *See* Final reports: readability and jargon
- Reasoning, chain of. *See* Facts, findings, conclusions, and recommendations: a chain of reasoning
- Recommendations**
 forced compliance with by public officials, undesirability of statute requiring, 295
 law governing (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)
 no authority for grand jury to enforce compliance, 52
 problems with, 143–148
See also Investigative reports, individual: recommendations
- Recording, listening to, observing grand jury proceedings, 26
- Records
 access by grand jury to public (*see* Table 3, Chapter 2)

- between successive grand juries, transmission of (*see* Information for succeeding grand juries: statute authorizing)
- Redevelopment agency(ies)**, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- “Red tape,” 157
- Reform of civil grand jury. *See generally* Legislation, suggestions for
- Removal of public officials. *See* Accusation for malfeasance, misfeasance, and nonfeasance
- Reorganization of services, agencies, etc., as a type of investigation, 103
- Reporting power of grand jury. *See generally* Statute(s) pertaining to grand jury powers
- Report to the community. *See* Final reports: tabloid formats, a suggested alternative
- Research strategies other than those used for study. *See* Method used for study: research strategies other than those used for study
- Resource needs of local government, as a type of investigation, 101
- Resources of grand juries and citizenship, 305
comments about, 93–94
need for grand jurors to understand, 214
secretarial assistance, 94
wasted, reasons for, 157
See also County employees as grand jury support staff: effects on grand jury culture
See also Expenditures of grand juries
See also Meeting room of grand jury
- Revolution, American. *See generally* American Revolution
- Rules of procedure
adoption of, 49
See also Penal Code Section 916, procedures, need for additional examples of
- “Rubber stamp” grand juries. *See* Independence: “rubber stamp” grand juries
- “Runaway” grand jury. *See* Independence: “runaway” grand jury
- ## S
- Salaries of county elected officials, grand jury authority concerning. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- San Diego County Grand Jury
dispute with County Counsel, 114
See also Subpoena, issuance of to grand jurors
- San Joaquin County Grand Jury
carry-over study, 6–8
hand-tally tracking system, 172
- San Mateo County Grand Jury
Bay Area Rapid Transit incident, 203
criticism of by County Supervisor, State Senator, 203
- Santa Barbara County Grand Jury
hand-tally tracking system, 172
- Santa Clara County Grand Jury,
dissolution of, 8–9
- Santa Cruz County Grand Jury
discharged by judge, 205, 275
See also Complaints, accounting for status of by Santa Cruz County Grand Jury
- School for public affairs, grand jury as, 348–349
- School districts.** *See generally* Public school districts
- School vouchers. *See* Education: school vouchers
- Sealing reports or records**
- Secrecy. *See generally* Confidentiality and secrecy
- Secretarial assistance to grand juries
See Foreman, forewoman, conflict with county secretarial staff member
- See* Resources of grand juries: secretarial assistance

- “Secret” investigations. *See* Los Angeles County Grand Jury: “secret” investigation conducted by
- Selection
- annual plan for selecting civil grand jurors, 282
 - “blue ribbon” grand jury, decline of, 265–266
 - “blue ribbon” grand jury and district attorney, 265
 - “blue ribbon” grand jury, explanation of term by, 428n.61
 - “blue ribbon” grand jury, and social status, 254
 - carry-over grand jurors, discontinuance of appointing (*see* Contra Costa County Grand Jury)
 - carry-over grand jurors, reasons for eliminating statute authorizing, 276
 - case study of, 271–272
 - challenges to composition of grand jury, 277
 - civil function, importance for, 269
 - “competent” requirement in Penal Code, 276
 - discretion of court in, 270
 - economic self-interest, 274
 - eligibility for grand jury service (*see* Selection: “competent” requirement in Penal Code)
 - elite character, criticism of grand jury for its, 28, 254
 - examples of actual or possible selection practices, 270
 - exemptions from grand jury service, elected public officers and others, 274
 - flexibility of statutes, 270
 - grand jury box, 272
 - Judicial Council and standards, 282
 - limitations of economic self-interest argument, 274
 - limitations of study focus on, 28
 - “openness,” a proposal, 277–278
 - “openness,” elements of an annual plan, 277
 - “openness,” statutory provisions for, 282
 - plan available for public review, 278
 - political party affiliation, 275
 - political reality of generally ignored by the news media, 29
 - public employees as grand jurors, 273
 - randomness, claim of, Stanislaus County, 272
 - randomness, misleading use of term, 271
 - randomness, strict, desirability of, 276–277
 - staggered terms, 270
 - statutory provisions, 270–271
 - vagueness of statutes concerning, 29
 - volunteers for grand jury service, 270
 - See also* Legislation, staggered terms statute, elimination of
- Selective basis
- statutes requiring grand jury to conduct certain investigations, 46, 164
 - See also* “Shall” and “may” in statutes
- Self-government
- American government as experiment in, 18
 - renew ideal of, use of technology to, 355
 - as a work in progress, 350
- Self-interest. *See generally* Conflict of interest
- Self, the, and citizenship. *See* Citizenship: the Self and
- Seminars, Grand Jury Exchange. *See generally* Grand Jury Exchange Seminars
- Senate Bill 1457, 203
- Senate Bill 2000, 202, 203
- “Serious citizens”
- as grand jurors, 314–317
 - and spirit of the institution, 314

- “Shall” and “may” in statutes, 46, 74
- Sierra County Grand Jury inquiry into involvement of Board of Supervisors in decisions by District Attorney, 117
- Significance of investigations and final reports
 criterion of, 67, 164–167
 documenting “harm,” 233
 “harm” as used in auditing profession, 413n.66
 sensationalism not necessary to achieve, 232–233
 trivial investigations, examples of, 166
See also Carry-over grand jurors, statute fosters mediocre investigations and reports
See also “Cook’s Tours” investigative reports
See also Minor investigations, routine inspections, tours, visits
- Size of grand juries, reduction in. *See* Grand jury: size of, reduction in
- Skills needed for effective grand jury service. *See* “Job descriptions” for civil grand jurors
- Slander**, 260
- SLAPPs. *See* Intimidation: SLAPPs
- Social issues and trends, as a type of investigation, 102
- Social science disciplines
 classic concerns of, 343
 contributions of for citizenship research, 337–345
 intellectual fashions and citizenship, 313
 normative analysis, distaste for, 338
- Social science research project concerning citizenship, 330–347
 citizens’ experiences as activists, 341
 civic re-armament, 342
 education, contributions of, 331–334
 examples of questions, 342
 the law, contributions of, 345–347
 news media, contributions of, 334–337
- Social scientists
 failure to study citizen intervention in government, 18
 neglect of grand jury by, 344
- Social Security numbers, disclosure of by grand jury. *See* Confidentiality and secrecy: Social Security number, disclosure by grand jury
- Social status of grand jurors
See Bureaucracy, trappings of and grand juror social status
See Carry-over grand jurors: social status and
See Civic values: occupations of grand jurors and
See District attorney(s): deference to by grand jurors and social status
See Grand jurors: and social status
See Los Angeles County Grand Jury: selection of grand jurors
See Photographs of grand jurors: and their social status
See Selection: “blue ribbon” grand jury, and social status
- Somnambulant citizenship. *See* Citizenship: somnambulant form of
- Sonoma County Grand Jury, comments about employees and political pressure, 115
- Special district(s)**, authority for investigation of. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Spirit of the institution
 and citizenship (*see* Citizenship: and spirit of the institution)
 citizen’s tribunal, 254
 discussion of term, 34
 not observed in seventeenth-century England, 427n.58
 in Penal Code Section 916, 51
 and what grand jurors want the institution to be, 256

- See also* Civic values: citizenship, investigations, and the spirit of the institution
- See also* History of the grand jury
- See also* Idealized conception of the grand jury
- Spying on grand juries. *See* Recording, listening to, observing grand jury proceedings
- Staggered terms
- See* Legislation, suggestions for: staggered-term statute, elimination of
- See* Selection: staggered terms
- Stanislaus County Grand Jury
- See* Selection: case study of
- See* Selection: randomness, claim of, Stanislaus County
- State civil grand jury. *See* Grand jury: State government, civil grand jury proposed for
- State hospital, opinion concerning. *See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: Atascadero State Hospital
- Statute(s)** pertaining to grand jury powers
- patchwork development of, 40
- problems in reading, 42–53
- See also* Jurisdiction
- See also* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Statutory basis of grand jury
- See* Common law: powers of the grand jury replaced by statutes
- See* Jurisdiction
- Statutory reorganization. *See* Legislation, suggestions for: organize statutes into logical sequence
- Statutory vagueness and ambiguity
- as defense in libel suit, 278
- examples of, 259–262
- See also* Findings: ambiguity of word
- See also* Penal Code Section 916: findings, need for definition of
- See also* Penal Code Section 930: failure to use word “libel”
- See also* Public school districts: authority of grand jury not explicit
- See also* Selection: vagueness of statutes concerning
- “Stopover in a Quiet Town.” *See* Citizenship: “Stopover in a Quiet Town” as metaphor
- Strategic lawsuits against public participation. *See* Intimidation: SLAPPs
- Subjecthood. *See* Citizenship: subjecthood, conditioning for
- Subjectivity. *See* Validity: and subjectivity
- Subjects of grand jury investigations. *See* Investigations: number by topics by county
- Subpoena**, issuance of to grand jurors, 398n.8
- Summaries of grand jury investigations of the study period
- as abstracts, 72
- number of, 22
- See also* Appendix A
- Summary. *See* Investigative reports, individual: summary, use of
- Superior court(s)**
- attitude of judges, effects on grand jury culture (*see* Charge, judicial: and grand jury culture)
- authority to review final reports, 51
- grand jury relationship with, 216
- no grand jury jurisdiction in, 5
- See also* Advisors, legal: authorized for grand jurors
- See also* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: courts, no grand jury jurisdiction in
- Supporting materials (in 10-section format), 245

- Survey research. *See generally* Questionnaires
- Synopsis. *See* Investigative reports, individual: synopsis, use of
- Systems, methods, and procedures, 134–136
 criterion of, 64–65
 suggestions for emphasizing, 220–222
See also Policy, policies
- T**
- Tabloid formats for final reports. *See* Final reports: “tabloid” formats
- Taxing districts. *See* Table 3, Chapter 2
- Teachers and principals. *See* Opinions pertaining to the civil grand jury, Office of the Attorney General of the State of California, examples: teachers and principals, no authority to investigate evaluation of
- Technical criteria
 assessment of final reports using, 182
 explanation of, 68, 181–199
 suggestions concerning, 236–249
- Tehama County Grand Jury carry-over study, 6–8
- Ten-section format. *See* Final reports: ten-section format
- Term of service for civil grand jurors
 calendar-year grand juries, number of in study period, 22
 fiscal-year grand juries, number of in study period, 22
 two-year term unwarranted, 295
See also Carry-over grand jurors
- Testimony**
- Textbooks, civics. *See* Civics: textbooks, earlier era
- Timetable of grand jury events. *See* Work schedule, development of
- Titles of investigations. *See* Final reports: titles of investigations
- de Tocqueville, Alexis, 14
- See also* Civic community
See also Mass society
- Topics investigated by grand juries. *See* Investigations, civil: number by topic
- Town hall era in New England, 329
- Tracking investigations, recommendations, and comments
 techniques for, 171–175
See also Amador County Grand Jury
See also Continuity
See also Placer County Grand Jury
See also San Joaquin County Grand Jury
See also Santa Barbara County Grand Jury
- Training grand jurors
 for conducting and writing objective investigations, 222
 for estimating costs and documenting findings, 223
 suggestions for internal training, 223
See also Factuality: suggestions for internal training in
See also Grand Jury Exchange
 Seminars: training in investigative methods
See also Performance auditors: as trainers of grand jurors
- Trinity County Grand Jury dispute with County Counsel, 114
- Triviality. *See* Significance of investigations and final reports: trivial investigations, examples of
- True bill**
- Tulare County Grand Jury. *See* Conflict of interest: allegation against Tulare County Grand Jury concerning
- Two-person interview requirement. *See* Penal Code Section 916: two-person interview requirement
- Two-year term of service for grand jurors. *See* Term of service for civil grand jurors: two-year term unwarranted
-

Typography of final reports. *See* Final reports: typography

V

Vagueness and ambiguity, statutory. *See generally* Statutory vagueness and ambiguity

Validity

 criterion of, 65
 determinants of in grand jury reports, 31
 examples of problems, 137–141
 of grand jury investigations and reports, 31–32
 Merced County Grand Jury plagiarism problem, 136, 275
 standard of proof required for reports of investigations (*see* Legislation, suggestions for: standard of proof required for reports of investigations)
 and subjectivity, 263
 suggestions to improve, 222–226
 See also Appendix D
 See also Investigative reports, individual: methods and validity of investigations
“Vendors,” grand jurors as. *See* Liability of grand jurors: grand jurors as “vendors”

Verification

Volunteer grand jurors
 See Culture, grand jury: and volunteer grand jurors
 See Selection: volunteers for grand jury service

Voting

 documenting approval of investigations and reports, 243
 Penal Code sections governing, 62
 See also Legislation, suggestions for: votes of grand jurors, affirmation in report of required number

W

Warrant

Washington, George, and monarchical tradition, 350

“Watchdog” function. *See* Civil function of the grand jury: watchdog function

Webb, Sidney and Beatrice, 39

Whistle-blower, whistle-blowing by public employees, 349

Wilde, Oscar, 15

Willful, corrupt misconduct of public officers. *See* Accusation for malfeasance, misfeasance, nonfeasance

Workload

 examples of accounting for, 168
 grand jury control of, 82
 influence of committee names on, 77
 priorities, two suggested methods for establishing, 211
 statistics, examples of, 234
 See also Activity report (in 10-section format)
 See also Indictment(s), effects on workload

Work schedule, development of, 210–211

“Wrap-up” investigations as strategy. *See* Investigations: “wrap-up” investigations as strategy

Wright, Ronald F., 19

Writ of mandate, possible use of to require compliance with comment requirement, 52

Writing styles, objective and subjective, 248

Y

Y2K problem. *See* Investigations and civic values, five examples: “millennium bug” problem, adequacy of preparing for

Yuba County Grand Jury
and citizenship skills and knowledge,
347
libel suit, *See* Libel: suit against
Yuba County Grand Jury
